

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,299	07/14/2003	James A. Shelford	UOBC121469	7570
	90 04/09/2007 O'CONNOR IOHNSO	04/09/2007 D'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC		
1420 FIFTH AV		iv, KiivDivEss, i Dbe	UOBC121469 7570 EXAMINER NAFF, DAVID M	AVID M
SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA	98101-2347			
SERTIEE, WIT.	, o 1 o 1 o 1 ,	• •		
	•			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	04/09/2007	PAF	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/620,299	SHELFORD ET AL.	٠
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	David M. Naff	1657	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	-
Period for Reply	DIVIO OFT TO EVOIDE AM	ONTHIC OR THEFTY (20) DAY	· ·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	6 January 2007.	·	
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		•	s is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-14,16-18 and 20-26</u> is/are pel	nding in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-14, 16-18 and 20-26</u> is/are re	ejected.	,	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	·	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	•	rospiyad	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	r list of the certified copies not	. received.	
Attachment(s)	∧ □	Summany (DTO, 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I	Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/620,299 Page 2

Art Unit: 1657

5

10

15

20

25

DETAILED ACTION

An amendment of 1/16/07 amended claims 1 and 14, and canceled claim 19.

Claims examined on the merits are 1, 3-14, 16-18 and 20-26, which are all claims in the application.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1, 3-14, 16-18 and 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification discloses (page 9, lines 10-12) that the ppm of antioxidant is based on the surfactant solution employed to coat the particulate feed additive. There is no disclosure of the ppm of antioxidant being based on the surfactant in the feed additive as claimed. Additionally, there is no disclosure of the feed additive containing 0.01 to 1% surfactant based on the weight of feed. The specification discloses only the feed containing the percent surfactant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1, 3-14, 16-18 and 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly

Application/Control Number: 10/620,299 Page 3

Art Unit: 1657

10

15

20

point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Requiring the percent surfactant in claims 1 and 14 to be based on the dry weight of feed is confusing since the claim does not require feed. Only a feed additive is required. Canceled claim 19 which required the percent surfactant depended on claim 14 that required adding the additive to feed.

Double Patenting

Claims 1, 3-5, 8-12, 14, 16-18 and 20-26 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,221,381 B1) in view of Block et al.

It would have been obvious to include an antioxidant in the feedstuff composition of the patent claims as suggested by Block et al disclosing a feedstock that can contain an antioxidant and nonionic surfactant. The antioxidant would have inherently stabilized the surfactant. Selecting a preferred amount of antioxidant would have required only limited routine experimentation and been obvious.

Double Patenting

Claim 13 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousnesstype double as set forth above, and further in view of Potter.

When adding an antioxidant to the composition of the patent claims as set forth above, it would have been obvious to add monensin to enhance efficiency as suggested by Potter.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,299

Art Unit: 1657

5

10

15

20

Response to Arguments

Page 4

Applicants' arguments that none of the references disclose an antioxidant in combination with the nonionic surfactant as claimed is unpersuasive with respect to the rejections above since Block et al suggest a feedstock containing an antioxidant in combination with a nonionic surfactant. The claims of the patent require an amount of surfactant as presently claimed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Naff

Application/Control Number: 10/620,299

Art Unit: 1657

whose telephone number is 571-272-0920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David M. Naff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1657 Page 5

10

15